

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/812,627	03/20/2001	David Lawrence	3499-94	3499-94 1330	
27383	7590 11/26/2004		EXAMINER		
CLIFFORD CHANCE US LLP			SUBRAMANIAN, N	SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
31 WEST 52ND STREET NEW YORK, NY 10019-6131			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3624		
		DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				100			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
		09/812,627	LAWRENCE ET AL	•			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Narayanswamy Subramanian	3624				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision of t	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be teply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	July 2004.					
-	•	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the one drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFF	, ,			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0  er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 08) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/812,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is in response to communication dated July 6, 2004. The request for continued examination filed therein, along with the accompanying amendments have not been entered because they are not filed after a final rejection. Since the amendments filed on April 8, 2004 are non-responsive and are not entered, the only claims pending are the original claims 1-25. The original claims 1-25 have been examined. The rejections and response to arguments are stated below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 1-18, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Basch et al (US Patent 6,119,103) as discussed in paragraph 3 of office action mailed on December 2, 2003.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/812,627 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Basch et al (US Patent 6119103) as discussed in paragraph 5 of office action mailed on December 2, 2003.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are not persuasive.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 09/812,627 Page 4

Art Unit: 3624

(703) 305-4878. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to the Patent Office is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

N. Subramanian November 19, 2004

wlat 11/22/04

Jagdish N. Patel Primary Examiner